

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Resolution ALJ 176-3098
Administrative Law Judge Division
October 24, 2002

R E S O L U T I O N

RESOLUTION ALJ 176-3098. Ratification of preliminary determinations of category for proceedings initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Article 2.5, Rules 4, and 6.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. (See also Rule 63.2(c) regarding notice of assignment.)

The Commission's rules and procedures which implement the requirements of Senate Bill (SB) 960 (Leonard, ch. 96-0856) are, for the most part, found in Article 2.5 of our Rules of Practice and Procedure. The rules and procedures were adopted by the Commission in D.97-11-021, which describes more fully the background to the development of these rules. Rule 4 describes the formal proceedings to which the SB 960 rules (Article 2.5) apply. Rule 6.1 requires the Commission to preliminarily determine a proceeding's category, whether the proceeding requires a hearing, and designate an Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge. Rule 6.1(a) states that the preliminary determination of category is not appealable but shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's ruling. Unless and until a preliminary determination is changed by such ruling, the preliminary determination of category governs the applicability of the other reforms that SB 960 requires. Rule 63.2 provides for petitioning the Commission to reassign a proceeding to another administrative law judge. Rule 63.2(c) establishes the time for filing such a petition. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

The Categories

SB 960 makes sweeping changes in many aspects of the Commission's practices in an effort to improve the quality and timeliness of Commission decision making. It creates three categories of proceedings: adjudicatory, ratesetting, and quasi-legislative. The

applicability of many of the changes it requires depends upon the category assigned to the proceeding. For example, the ex parte rules which apply differ if the proceeding is categorized as adjudicatory rather than quasi-legislative. The Legislature defined each of these procedural categories in Section 7 of SB 960. Consistent with these definitions, the rules provide that:

“‘Adjudicatory’ proceedings are: (1) enforcement investigations into possible violations of any provision of statutory law or order or rule of the Commission; and (2) complaints against regulated entities, including those complaints that challenge the accuracy of a bill, but excluding those complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future.

“‘Ratesetting’ proceedings are proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities). ‘Ratesetting’ proceedings include complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future. For purposes of this Article, other proceedings may be categorized as ratesetting as described in Rule 6.1(c).

“‘Quasi-legislative’ proceedings are proceedings that establish policy or rules (including generic ratemaking policy or rules) affecting a class of regulated entities, including those proceedings in which the Commission investigates rates or practices for an entire regulated industry or class of entities within the industry.” (Rules 5(b), 5(c), and 5(d).)

Mixed or Unclear Category Proceedings

For a proceeding that may fall into more than one category, the rules allow parties to recommend that the Commission pick the most suitable category, or to recommend dividing the subject matter of the proceeding into different phases or one or more new proceedings, each with its own category. The rules provide that a proceeding that does not clearly fit into *any* of SB 960’s defined categories will be conducted under the rules applicable to the ratesetting category. As such a proceeding matures, the Commission may determine that the rules applicable to one of the other categories, or some hybrid of those rules, would be better suited to the proceeding.

As stated in D.97-06-071, ratesetting proceedings typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding relating to a particular public utility. Because proceedings that do not clearly fall within the adjudicatory or quasi-legislative categories likewise typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding, the ratesetting procedures are, in general, preferable for those proceedings.

ALJ/hkr

Next Steps

As stated above, this preliminary determination of category is not appealable. Once interested parties have had an opportunity to respond to the initiating party's proposed category, the preliminary determination shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's Ruling pursuant to Rule 6(a)(3). This Assigned Commissioner Ruling may be appealed to the full Commission pursuant to Rule 6.4(a). Parties have 10 days after the ruling is mailed to appeal. Responses to the appeal are allowed under Rule 6.4(b), and must be filed and served not later than 15 days after the ruling is mailed. The full Commission will consider the appeal.

Any party, or person or entity declaring an intention to become a party is entitled to petition for reassignment of the proceeding to another Administrative Law Judge, as described in Rule 63.2. Such a petition must be filed no later than 10 days after notice of the assignment. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

Conclusion

The Commission has reviewed the initial pleading of the utility applicants listed in the attached schedule and has made a preliminary determination of category and need for hearing, consistent with the requirements and definitions of Article 2.5 of its rules.

IT IS ORDERED that each proceeding listed in the attached schedule is preliminarily categorized, and the need for a hearing is noted.

ALJ/hkr

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on October 24, 2002, the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

/s/ WESLEY M. FRANKLIN

WESLEY M. FRANKLIN
Executive Director

LORETTA M. LYNCH
President

HENRY M. DUQUE

CARL W. WOOD

GEOFFREY F. BROWN

MICHAEL R. PEEVEY

Commissioners

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3098 (10/24/02)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A02-09-047 LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY, for an Order authorizing the Construction of a two-track at-grade crossing for the Eastside Corridor Light Rail Transit Line across the westbound lanes of First Street in the City and County of Los Angeles.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-09-048 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, through its San Francisco Municipal Railway, for an Order authorizing construction of at-grade crossings for 18 intersections along Segment D of the Third Street Light Rail Project in the City and County of San Francisco.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-003 CITY OF MORGAN HILL, to Relocate a public crossing and to construct grade crossing safety enhancements across the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) tracks in the City of Morgan Hill, Santa Clara County, California.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-004 AMERICANSTAR TOURS, for Extension of its Authority as a Passenger Stage Corporation between Points in San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Monterey, Santa Clara, Alameda, San Francisco, Fresno, Kings, Los Angeles, Tulare, Kern counties; and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-005 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, for Authorization under Public Utilities Code Section 851 to Consent to Crossings of [its] Exclusive Easements by High Winds, LLC.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-006 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, for an Order Authorizing the State of California, Department of Transportation to Add HOV lanes in the median gap of the West Sylmar Overhead Bridge over tracks owned by SCRRA (Metrolink) P.U.C. No.101-VY-25.78-A in the County of Los Angeles.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-007 NEW CENTURY TELECOM, INC., for Approval of Stock Purchase Agreement and related Transfer of Control.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3098 (10/24/02)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A02-10-008 FIRST JACOR CORPORATION, OSPINA, JAIME F., PAGE, STEPHEN J., PLACO S.A., for the Transfer of Control of US Data Highway Corporation (U 6399-C) and (U 6183-C) and Request for expedited Ex Parte Relief.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-009 CITY OF SAN MARCOS, for an Order authorizing construction of a Class 1 Bikeway within North County Transit District (NCTD) right-of-way in the City of San Marcos in the County of San Diego referred to as the INLAND RAIL TRAIL PROJECT (PHASE 1B).	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-010 CLARICOM NETWORKS, LLC, for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Resell Local Exchange Telecommunications Services within the State of California.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-011 NOBELTEL, LLC, for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-012 CALIFORNIA CATALOG & TECHNOLOGY, INC., dba CCT TELECOMMUNICATIONS, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to provide (i) resold and facilities-based competitive local exchange service throughout the service territories of Pacific Bell Telephone Company, Verizon California, Inc., Roseville Telephone Company and Citizens Telecommunications Company of California, Inc.; and (ii) facilities-based interexchange service statewide.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-013 LGM GROUP, INC., dba KIDS KAB, LT VENTURES, INC., dba KIDS KAB, for Approval of the transfer by sale of the Passenger Stage Certificate No. 9709-P held by LT Ventures, Inc., dba KIDS KAB ('Seller') to LGM Group, Inc., dba KIDS KAB ('Buyer') pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 851 et seq.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-014 TELEDATA SOLUTIONS, INC., dba TSI, for registration as an interexchange carrier telephone corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3098 (10/24/02)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
A02-10-016 UNITED STATES TELESIS, INC., dba US TELESIS, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to provide resold local exchange services.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
A02-10-017 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, (U 39-M), for Authorization under Public Utilities Code Section 851 to grant an easement to the Transmission Agency of Northern California to use and maintain an existing road across PG&E properties.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO